


# CovaWound™

## Competitor Comparison Graphs


### Foam (No Border)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**




Absorbency measured as **grams per gram**



## Fluid retention measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**

### COVAWOUND™ FOAM (NO BORDER)

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ foam dressing without border, when measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>** is better than both Allevyn and ActivHeal.




Date Tested: March 2017

## Fluid retention measured as **grams per gram**

### COVAWOUND™ FOAM (NO BORDER)

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ foam dressing without border, when measured as **grams per gram** is better than both Allevyn and ActivHeal.



Date Tested: March 2017


# CovaWound™

## Competitor Comparison Graphs

### Foam (Border)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per gram**



Covalon Technologies (Europe) Ltd  
Lion Court, Staunton Harold Hall,  
Melbourne Rd, Ashby-de-la-Zouch LE65 1RT  
+44 (0)1332 695020 [www.covalon.co.uk](http://www.covalon.co.uk)

CW981-UK-1 (08/2017)

[www.covalon.co.uk](http://www.covalon.co.uk)


**covalon**  
TECHNOLOGIES LTD.

# CovaWound™


## Competitor Comparison Graphs Silicone Foam Lite (No Border)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**




Absorbency measured as **grams per gram**



Retention measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**

### COVAWOUND™ SILICONE FOAM LITE (NO BORDER)

The **retention** of the CovaWound™ Silicone lite dressing without border, when measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>** is better than both Advazorb Lite and Mepilex Lite.




Date Tested: December 2016

# CovaWound™


## Competitor Comparison Graphs- Silicone Foam (No Border)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**




Retention measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**



## Fluid retention measured as **grams per gram**

### COVAWOUND™ SILICONE FOAM (NO BORDER)

The **fluid retention** of the CovaWound™ Silicone foam dressing, when measured as **grams per gram** is better than Allevyn Gentle.



Date Tested: October 2016

# CovaWound™


## Competitor Comparison Graphs Silicone Foam Lite (Border)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**

### COVAWOUND™ SILICONE FOAM (BORDER)

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone lite bordered dressing, when measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>** is better than both Mepilex Lite and Allevyn Lite.




Date Tested: March 2017

Absorbency measured as **grams per gram**

### COVAWOUND™ SILICONE FOAM (BORDER)

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Silicone lite bordered dressing, when measured as **grams per gram** is better than both Mepilex Lite and Allevyn Lite.



Date Tested: March 2017

Covalon Technologies (Europe) Ltd  
Lion Court, Staunton Harold Hall,  
Melbourne Rd, Ashby-de-la-Zouch LE65 1RT  
+44 (0)1332 695020 [www.covalon.co.uk](http://www.covalon.co.uk)

CW984-UK-1 (08/2017)

[www.covalon.co.uk](http://www.covalon.co.uk)

**covalon**  
TECHNOLOGIES LTD.




# CovaWound™

## Competitor Comparison Graphs


### Hydrocolloid

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>**




Fluid handling capacity measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>**



## Absorbency measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>**

### COVAWOUND™ HYDROCOLLOID

The **absorbency** of the CovaWound™ Hydrocolloid dressing, when measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>** is better than Border Granuflex.




Date Tested: January 2017

## Fluid handling capacity measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>**

### COVAWOUND™ HYDROCOLLOID

The **fluid handling capacity** of Covawound's Hydrocolloid dressing, when measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>** is better than Border Granuflex.



Date Tested: January 2017


# CovaWound™

## Competitor Comparison Graphs


### Alginate

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**




Fluid retention measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**



## Wet Force Break Horizontal Max measured as **N**

### COVAWOUND™ ALGINATE

The **wet force break vertical Max** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Alginate dressing, when measured as **N** is better than Kaltostat and Sorbsan.




Date Tested: November 2016

## Wet Force Break Horizontal Max measured as **N/mm**

### COVAWOUND™ ALGINATE

The **wet force break horizontal Max** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Alginate dressing, when measured as **N/mm** is better than Kaltostat and Sorbsan.




Date Tested: November 2016

## Wet Force Break Vertical Max measured as **N**

### COVAWOUND™ ALGINATE

The **wet force break vertical, Max** of the CovaWound™ Alginate dressing, when measured as **N** is better than Kaltostat and Sorbsan.




Date Tested: November 2016

## Wet Force Break Vertical Max measured as **N/mm**

### COVAWOUND™ ALGINATE

The **wet force break vertical Max** of the CovaWound™ Silicone Alginate dressing, when measured as **N/mm** is better than Kaltostat and Sorbsan.



Date Tested: November 2016


# CovaWound™

## Competitor Comparison Graphs

### Silicone Foam (Border)

The Surgical Materials Testing laboratory (SMTL) is recognised as an independent centre of excellence for the research, development and testing of wound dressings and its reports are accepted and respected worldwide by clinicians, manufacturers and procurement bodies. The standards used in all test methodologies are in accordance with BS EN 13726.

Absorbency measured as **grams per 10cm<sup>2</sup>**



Fluid retention measured as **grams per cm<sup>2</sup>**

